COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT ANNUAL REPORT For the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005

COMPLAINTS TO BE PROCESSED

Complaints pending July 1, 2004 Complaints received this fiscal year:	47
First Quarter (July 1, 2004 through Sept. 30, 2004)	68
Second Quarter (Oct. 1, 2004 through Dec. 31,2004)	62
Third Quarter (Jan. 1, 2005 through Mar. 31, 2005)	66
Fourth Quarter (April 1, 2005 through June 30, 2005)	59
	===
PLUS TOTAL complaints received this fiscal year	255 +255
	====
TOTAL-pending and received complaints for fiscal year	
July 1, 2004 through June 30,2005	302

DISPOSITION OF CONCLUDED COMPLAINTS

 Dismissed by Disciplinary Counsel after review (no jurisdiction) Dismissed by Disciplinary Counsel after preliminary investigation (lack of evidence) Dismissed by Investigative Panel after preliminary investigation Dismissed by Investigative Panel after full investigation Dismissed by Hearing Panel Dismissed by Supreme Court 	37 40 2 0
(Total Dismissed 206)	
Letter of Caution without finding of misconduct. Letter of Caution with finding of minor misconduct. Deferred Disciplinary Agreement. Admonition (Confidential). Admonition (Public but not Published). Public Reprimand. Suspension. Removal from Office. Referral to Another Agency. Other (Closed but not Dismissed).	18 6 0 8 0 9 3 1 1 1
(Total Not Dismissed47)	
LESS TOTAL complaints concluded this fiscal year	=== 253 -253
TOTAL complaints pending as of June 30, 2005	==== 49

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT ANNUAL REPORT For the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005

SOURCES OF COMPLAINTS

Of the 255 new complaints filed with the Commission during the 2004-2005 reporting period, such complaints originated from the following sources:

Litigant	187
Relative or friend of litigant or defendant	28
Interested citizen	10
Disciplinary counsel	6
Law enforcement officer	0
Another judge	7
Government Official	
Attorney	9
Anonymous	0
Self-Report	

BREAKDOWN BY TYPES OF JUDGES AGAINST WHOM COMPLAINTS WERE FILED

Of the 255 new complaints filed with the Commission during the 2004-2005 reporting period, complaints received were against the following categories of judges:

Appellate Court	0
Circuit Court	42
Family Court	50
Masters and Referees	9
Probate Court	19
Municipal Court	20
Magistrates	115

COMMISSION ACTIVITIES

Meetings of investigative panels this fiscal year	4
Meetings of hearing panels this fiscal year	0
Meetings of full commission this fiscal year	0