COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT ANNUAL REPORT For the period July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008

COMPLAINTS TO BE PROCESSED

Complaints pending July 1, 2007	
Complaints received this fiscal year:	
First Quarter (July 1, 2007 through Sept. 30, 2007)	82
Second Quarter (Oct. 1, 2007 through Dec. 31,2007)	81
Third Quarter (Jan. 1, 2008 through Mar. 31, 2008)	69
Fourth Quarter (April 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008)	98
	===
PLUS TOTAL complaints received this fiscal year	330 +330
	====
TOTAL-pending and received complaints for fiscal year	
July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008	378

DISPOSITION OF CONCLUDED COMPLAINTS

 Dismissed by Disciplinary Counsel after review (no jurisdiction) Dismissed by Disciplinary Counsel after preliminary investigation (lack of evidence) Dismissed by Investigative Panel after preliminary investigation Dismissed by Investigative Panel after full investigation Dismissed by Hearing Panel Dismissed by Supreme Court 	51 16 0 0
(Total Dismissed 281)	
Letter of Caution without finding of misconduct Letter of Caution with finding of minor misconduct Deferred Disciplinary Agreement Admonition (Confidential) Admonition (Public but not Published) Public Reprimand Suspension Removal from Office Referral to Another Agency Other (Closed but not Dismissed)	3 0
(Total Not Dismissed35)	
LESS TOTAL complaints concluded this fiscal year	=== 316 -316
TOTAL complaints pending as of June 30, 2008	==== 62

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT ANNUAL REPORT For the period July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008

SOURCES OF COMPLAINTS

Of the 330 new complaints filed with the Commission during the 2007-2008 reporting period, such complaints originated from the following sources:

Litigant	275
Relative or friend of litigant or defendant	20
Interested citizen	4
Disciplinary counsel	13
Law enforcement officer	2
Another judge	4
Government Official	2
Attorney	4
Anonymous	1
Self-Report	5

BREAKDOWN BY TYPES OF JUDGES AGAINST WHOM COMPLAINTS WERE FILED

Of the 330 new complaints filed with the Commission during the 2007-2008 reporting period, such complaints received were against the following categories of judges:

Appellate Court	16
Circuit Court	77
Family Court	
Masters and Referees	10
Probate Court	20
Municipal Court	21
Magistrates	134
Judicial Candidate	

COMMISSION ACTIVITIES

Meetings of investigative panels this fiscal year	4
Meetings of hearing panels this fiscal year	1
Meetings of full commission this fiscal year	0