





PCR judge's order as to those rulings. *See Narciso v. State*, 397 S.C. 24, 723 S.E.2d 369 (2012) (stating this Court will affirm the PCR judge's findings if any evidence of probative value in the record exists to support those findings).

However, we find we cannot meaningfully review the PCR judge's rulings on six of petitioner's grounds for relief. Accordingly, we vacate the PCR judge's order as to these six rulings and remand petitioner's case to the circuit court for a new PCR hearing, limited to the allegations from petitioner's PCR application listed below:

- I. Defense counsel failed to conscientiously discharge his professional responsibilities while handling petitioner's case.
- II. Defense counsel failed to effectively challenge the arrest and seizure of petitioner.
- III. Defense counsel failed to pursue plea negotiations that may have proven advantageous to petitioner.
- IV. Defense counsel failed to put forward any argument for a minimum sentence at petitioner's sentencing.
- V. Defense counsel failed to challenge the admission of evidence at trial on the basis that the chain of custody had been broken, and that he had not been given an opportunity to examine the evidence.
- VI. Defense counsel withdrew a potentially meritorious motion to suppress.

**AFFIRMED IN PART, VACATED IN PART, AND REMANDED.**

**TOAL, C.J., PLEICONES, BEATTY, KITTREDGE and HEARN, JJ.,  
concur.**