

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as Trustee for
First Franklin Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-FFI Pass-
Through Certificates, Series 2006-FFI, Respondent,

v.

Dora S. Morrow, Ray Martin, and Lease and Rental
Management Corp. d/b/a Auto Use and Auto Loan, a
Massachusetts Corporation, Southern New Hampshire
Bank and Trust Company, a New Hampshire Bank, and
Edman Hackworth, Defendants.

Edman Hackworth, 3rd Party Plaintiff,

v.

John Morrow, 3rd Party Defendant.

John Morrow and Dora Morrow, 3rd Party Plaintiffs,

Of Whom John Morrow and Dora Morrow are the
Appellants,

v.

Edman Hackworth and Debbie Hackworth, 3rd Party
Defendants,

Of Whom Edman Hackworth is a Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2014-002381

Appeal From Greenville County
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master-in-Equity

Unpublished Opinion No. 2016-UP-264
Submitted February 1, 2016 – Filed June 8, 2016

AFFIRMED

David Richard Price, Jr., of David R. Price, Jr., P.A., of
Greenville, for Appellants.

Daniel Quigley Orvin and Matthew Tillman, both of
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP, of Charleston,
for Respondent Deutsche Bank National Trust Company
as Trustee for First Franklin Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-
FFI Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-FFI.

Thomas Elihue Dudley, III, of Kenison Dudley &
Crawford, LLC, of Greenville, for Respondent Edman
Hackworth.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following
authorities: *Wilder Corp. v. Wilke*, 330 S.C. 71, 76, 497 S.E.2d 731, 733 (1998)
("[A]n issue cannot be raised for the first time on appeal, but must have been raised
to and ruled upon by the trial judge to be preserved for appellate review."); *Mize v.*
Blue Ridge Ry. Co., 219 S.C. 119, 129-30, 64 S.E.2d 253, 258 (1951) (finding if an
appellant does not raise an issue at trial, but the trial judge addresses it, it is still not
preserved for appeal because it was not raised by the appellant); *Johnson v. Sonoco*
Prods. Co., 381 S.C. 172, 177, 672 S.E.2d 567, 570 (2009) ("An issue may not be
raised for the first time in a motion to reconsider.").

AFFIRMED.¹

HUFF, A.C.J., and KONDUROS and GEATHERS, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.