

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Jeremiah Jermaine Brandon Smith, Jr., Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2018-000100

Appeal From Clarendon County
D. Craig Brown, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2019-UP-388
Submitted October 1, 2019 – Filed December 18, 2019

AFFIRMED

Appellate Defender Lara Mary Caudy, of Columbia, for
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant
Attorney General Joshua Abraham Edwards, both of
Columbia; and Solicitor Ernest Adolphus Finney, III, of
Sumter, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following
authorities: *State v. Dunbar*, 356 S.C. 138, 142, 587 S.E.2d 691, 693-94 (2003)
("In order for an issue to be preserved for appellate review, it must have been

raised to and ruled upon by the trial [court]. Issues not raised and ruled upon in the trial court will not be considered on appeal."); *State v. Smith*, 337 S.C. 27, 32, 522 S.E.2d 598, 600 (1999) ("A pretrial ruling on the admissibility of evidence is preliminary and is subject to change based on developments at trial."); *id.* ("A ruling in limine is not final; unless an objection is made at the time the evidence is offered and a final ruling procured, the issue is not preserved for review."); *State v. Garris*, 394 S.C. 336, 348, 714 S.E.2d 888, 894-95 (Ct. App. 2011) (holding a defendant's failure to object to the introduction of a photo lineup at trial waived his right to contest its introduction on appeal).

AFFIRMED.¹

LOCKEMY, C.J., and KONDUROS and HILL, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.